

Kathryn G. Spelman, Esq. (SBN 154512)
kspelman@mount.com
Daniel H. Fingerman, Esq. (SBN 229683)
dfingerman@mount.com
MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.
River Park Tower, Suite 1650
333 West San Carlos Street
San Jose, CA 95110-2740
Telephone: (408) 279-7000
Fax: (408) 998-1473
Attorneys for Plaintiff
SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.

Talley E. McIntyre (SBN 203131)
thenry@nixonpeabody.com
NIXON PEABODY LLP
One Embarcadero Center, 18th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3600
Telephone: (415) 984-8200
Fax: (415) 984-8300

Maia H. Harris (*Pro Hac Vice*)
mharris@nixonpeabody.com
NIXON PEABODY LLP
100 Summer Street, 25th Floor
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 345-1000
Fax: (877) 670-8148
Attorneys for Defendant
SCHICK MANUFACTURING INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AERO PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL INC.;
BP LUBRICANTS USA INC.; BRK BRANDS
INC.; CALICO BRANDS INC.; COOPER
LIGHTING LLC; DAREX LLC; DEXAS
INTERNATIONAL LTD.; DYNA-GRO
NUTRITION SOLUTIONS; FISKARS BRANDS
INC.; GLOBAL CONCEPTS INC.; HOMAX
PRODUCTS INC.; KIMBERLY-CLARK
CORPORATION; KRACO ENTERPRISES
LLC; LIXIT CORPORATION; MEAD

No. CV10-02994-HRL

**JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING SEVERANCE OF
CLAIMS AGAINST SCHICK
MANUFACTURING INC.**

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

Complaint Filed: July 8, 2010

WESTVACO CORPORATION; NUTRITION 21
INC.; OATEY CO.; OPTIMUM
TECHNOLOGIES INC.; NEWELL
RUBBERMAID INC.; SCHICK
MANUFACTURING, INC.; THE SCOTTS
COMPANY LLC; STERLING
INTERNATIONAL INC.; VITAMIN POWER
INCORPORATED; WOODSTREAM
CORPORATION; 4-D DESIGN INC,

Defendants.

Plaintiff San Francisco Technology, Inc. and Defendant Schick Manufacturing Inc. ("Schick")
hereby stipulate and agree that Defendant Schick shall be severed from this case. This stipulation is
made in light of the Court's ruling in *San Francisco Technology, Inc. v. The Glad Products Co.*, 2010
U.S. Dist. Lexis 83681 (N.D. Cal. 2010), where the Court determined that severance is appropriate in
a similar situation. Subject to the Court's approval, the parties request that the Clerk open a new case
number in *San Francisco Technology, Inc. v. Schick Manufacturing Inc.*

DATED: September 16, 2010

NIXON PEABODY LLP

/s/ Talley E. McIntyre
By: _____
Talley E. McIntyre
Attorneys for Defendant
SCHICK MANUFACTURING, INC.

DATED: September 16, 2010

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.

/s/ Daniel H. Fingerman
By: _____
Daniel H. Fingerman
Attorneys for Plaintiff
SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.

1 In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, I, Talley E. McIntyre attest that
2 concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.


3
4 DATED: September 16, 2010

NIXON PEABODY LLP

5
6 By: /s/ Talley E. McIntyre
7 Talley E. McIntyre
8 Attorneys for Defendant
9 SCHICK MANUFACTURING, INC.

10 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS SO**
11 **ORDERED**

12
13 Dated: 9/27/10

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 By 
The Honorable Jeremy Fogel